

Robert A. Verlinden  
Van Speykstraat 87  
3014 VE Rotterdam  
Nederland

Telefoon 0031.10.7850589  
Mobiel: 06-22110234  
e-mail: [burgersinactie@hotmail.com](mailto:burgersinactie@hotmail.com)




Photo: Osip Zakine

## EUROPE WITH A CULTURE AND DEMOCRATIC DAMAGED HEART?

[www.wirsinduberrall.de](http://www.wirsinduberrall.de) [www.weareeverywhere.eu](http://www.weareeverywhere.eu)  
[www.wijzijnoverl.nl](http://www.wijzijnoverl.nl)

## Call for ratification of the fundamental rights of 1848

### Open registered letter

Council of the European Union, Heads of State  
CONSILIUM  
Mr Herman A. Van Rompuy Esq. /President Chairman  
Rue de la Loi 175  
B-1049 Brussel  
Belgium

Rotterdam, 24<sup>th</sup> of March 2010

Dear Mr. Van Rompuy,

Herewith I acknowledge the receipt of your letters of 3<sup>rd</sup> March 2010. Reference: 295.

Regarding the abolishing of the principle of the fundamental rights<sup>1</sup>, concerning the obligation of European Heads of State, in the European Treaty Lisbon 2007, you just reiterate what you wrote to me in your letter of 3<sup>rd</sup> February 2010.

Regarding my complaints about the valid period of the international train tickets, which silently have been changed from 2 month into 1 month in December 2009 you suggest me to contact the Transport Directorate- General of the European Commission.

In your letter you gave me the following website:

[http://ec.europa.eu/transport/passengers/index\\_en.htm](http://ec.europa.eu/transport/passengers/index_en.htm) I couldn't find any exemplary decision<sup>2</sup> from a political, public or social institute. That means that the owner of this website can write whatever he wants without any authority from the members of the institutions. This is populism<sup>3</sup>.

Populism is only possible when the principle of the fundamental rights of 1848 will not be respected. The principle of the fundamental rights is the obligation of the European Heads of State that the European citizens will be consulted in advance about new laws, law changing, wars and other political questions.

To prove that this crucial criterion has definitively been abolished, I refer to the official decision of the Dutch minister Jacqueline Cramer, servant of the Crown<sup>4</sup>, allowing the genetical manipulation of the flower '**the carnation**'. (*Dianthus Cayophyllus L.*) the international well-known favourite flower of the late Royal Prince Bernhard. Case number: DGM/RB/C/NL/06/01.

She decided at 18<sup>th</sup> of December 2009 that the group '**The European GMO- free Citizens**' has no longer a direct interest in this case. This group has no longer access to the court for civil administrative matters, she decided<sup>5</sup> (Enclosure: 1). That means: Also in this field populism is starting now.

<sup>1</sup> 'Fundamental rights are the Legal settings on which the subsequent legal or later rely on' (Van Dale). Van Dale didn't mentioned the fundamental obligation (norms) which belongs to the fundamental rights. The Fundamental rights without the fundamental obligation of the sovereigns don't exist.

<sup>2</sup> Exemplary decisions shows the decision, after the meeting of the members of the political or public institute included all the facts and arguments of the members of the institute concerned. Only after revealed of these exemplary decisions the members of the parliament are able to have a political debate.

<sup>3</sup> Populism is talking and acting without authority of the members of the institutions (exemplary decisions). With other words, over the heads of the exemplary decisions of the right to unite, to meet and to vote in the political, public and social institutions, the gut or good feelings of the masses touch, add half truths and concealing key facts.

<sup>4</sup> In the Netherlands the ministers and State secretaries are servants of the Crown, instead of the people. The Dutch people are held politically apathetic. CIVIS MUNDI/ April 2009 'Burgerschapsproblematiek opnieuw ter discussie' Prof. dr. S.W.. Couwenberg.

<sup>5</sup> One of the main reasons that we have forgotten the values and norms of the exemplar decisions, is, that after WO II, the term populism is mentioned as a half truth in the dictionaries. Maarten van Rossem, Dutch Historian, wrote, (free translated from Dutch) '*old populism is for example American populism of the 19e century. New populism is the Western European populism of the last 30 years*'. The Dutch dictionary Van Dale: Populism: popular, somewhat superficial demagoguery. Nonsense! Populism is talking and acting without authority of the members of the institutions (exemplary decisions). With other words, over the heads of the exemplary decisions of the right to meet, unite and vote in the political and social institutions, the gut feelings of the masses touch, add half truths and concealing key facts.



This mad decision, in contrary with the principle of the fundamental rights, has been caused by the fact that the Dutch "Queen and Government" silently abolished the *actio popularis* on 1<sup>st</sup> of July 2005. Nobody has been consulted in advance and nobody has been informed.

On the 18<sup>th</sup> of June 2007 I wrote the then rotating EU President, Bundeskanzlerin A. Merkel, about this crucial subject as she was also my European President at that time. (**Enclosure: 2**).

It must be clear that the genetical manipulation of the 'carnation' is just greed. It has nothing to do with fighting hunger in the world, as this argument generally exclusively is used to legitimise the introduction of GMO in the environment.

It seems that with this flower 'a new world order', regulated by the coming *Codex Alimentarius* and coming *tetras politica*, has definitively begun.

It also seems that the GMO carnation is a sign to the elite and multinationals that they are protected by the invisible political power of the European Royals (Heads of State).

The Dutch say no... no... to GMO but only Queen Beatrix *cum suis* said yes!<sup>6</sup>

The intention of the judicial cooperation in civil matters, Article 65.2.f. in chapter III of the European Treaty Lisbon 2007, concerning the abolishing of the right to unite as a result of the obligation of the Heads of State, is completely clear now: **Quote:** 'The elimination of obstacles to the proper functioning of civil proceedings, if necessary by promoting the compatibility of the rules on civil procedure applicable in the Member States...' **End quote.**

**Questions: a)** why if necessary? **b)** What are the criteria of 'if necessary'? **c)** Why 'if necessary' if we know that all rights and institutions are the results to the right of compatibility, the crucial criterion of the principle of the fundamental rights of 1848?

For more than five years I am active for getting back this right of the *actio popularis*. For more than one year this case has already been in the hands of the European Court of Human Rights in Strasbourg without any answer until now<sup>7</sup>. Distrust is growing. More and more the question is rising: can we trust the European Courts in Luxembourg<sup>8</sup> and Strasbourg?

Without respect for the obligation of the fundamental rights, populism exists. In fact, this is a subversive act of the Heads of State.

It is a fact that the members of the political, public and social institutions have not been consulted in advance, about the European Treaty Lisbon 2007, the war in Iraq, Afghanistan and maybe in the very near future also a war with Iran. That means there is no informed consent and there is no authority coming from the exemplary decisions of the members of the political, public and social institutions. That is populism.

At the end populism results into popular sentiment ('*Gesundes Volksempfinden*'). The consequence will be distrust as is happening in the Netherlands now.

I am afraid that the history will repeat itself. Not any member in the political, public or social institutes has been consulted nor informed about the coming *Codex Alimentarius*<sup>9</sup> of the United Nations. The same has happened with the *tetras politica (ict)* in the hands of the Dutch Eurocommissioner Neelie Kroes. All these facts and arguments legitimise our call for ratification of the fundamental rights of 1848.

<sup>6</sup> Christmas speech queen Beatrix 1929, free translation, **quote:** 'We have to find a transition to the society with new norms and rules where every body have to participate' **End quote** (Norms means duty and rules means values)

The Dutch Royal family doesn't taste the difference between organic vegetables and GMO vegetables. Their vegetables coming from own garden and that gives the family a good feeling said Crown Prince Alexander (Agd. 14/8/04/p8 and p9) In 2002 silently they abolished the Board of Advisors of the private organic ogo EKO in the organic control organisation SKAL. In fact they abolished the exemplary decisions resulting from the right to unite. This was, for the first time, confirmed by letter by Minister Gerda Verburg, the servant of the crown, on 14th June 2007.

<sup>7</sup> Please see our Legal process about the fundamental right (actio popularis) Court of State (Raad van State), application number 200706900/1/H-3, and application number 3636/09 ECHR-LNld1.IR - AVS/NM/waw, Third Section, European Court of Human Rights in Strasbourg.

<sup>8</sup> See: Prejudicial questions, about the independence of the Council of State (Raad van State) with Queen Beatrix as chairman. Application number 200702758/1, Court of State (Raad van State), and application number 815976 NL /C360/08 European Court of Justice in Luxembourg.

<sup>9</sup> Alimentarius, (Latin) means everything what have to do with food. (Codex for food and medicines coming from Genetically manipulation, Nanotechnology en Cisgenetechnologie) Please be so kind and see the flyer of the meeting in Rotterdam about the Codex Alimentarius from 23th March until 27th March 2009 on our website: <http://www.burgersinactie.nl/090325%20alimentarius.pdf>

**Conclusion:** without respect for the obligation of the Heads of State, the crucial criterion of the Fundamental Rights of 1848, Mr. Barroso *cum suis* will damage the European culture<sup>10</sup> and the European democracy. In fact it means damaging the general interest of all Europeans individually.

A recent example: as opposed to the wish for a GMO free Europe, the European Commission has just approved growing genetically modified crops for the first time in 12 years. If the fundamental rights should have been respected, this decision should have been impossible. **Quote:** "Spinoza also stressed repeatedly that the arming of civilians is the only way for them to initiate their ruler (and) to be suppressed". **End quote** <sup>11</sup>.



Without a ratification of the fundamental rights, new wars and destructions will than happen again. In this case I quote the prophetic words of Adolf Hitler as he said to Himmler:

**Quote:** 'I must detect to my grief that the real culprits, the subversive elements of all nations on earth, the instigators of this war, just like in the past, will arise from battle unharmed. Perhaps, they will be stronger than ever at the end'.<sup>12</sup> **End quote.**

It seems that the Codex Alimentarius is fascism (*Latin: fasces*), the most worse of his kind. The patents and new knowledge of the genetically manipulated organisms, just in a few hands, make the Heads of State stronger than before. Indeed the civilisation will than be ended as mentioned in the book of John Baker 'Human Smoke' 'The Beginning of World War II, the End of Civilization'<sup>13</sup>.

Everybody can understand that the technology of genetical manipulation can be used for the 'good' and for 'bad' purposes. It means it could be more dangerous than an atom bomb in the hands of Iran.

In this case I like to refer to Samantha Power. Editor of the book 'Chasing the Flame. Sergio Vieira de Mello and the fight to save the world.' **The central question** of the editor is: *who has the moral authority, the political competence and the military and economic power to bring peace and save lives?*

**My answer is:** only ratification of the fundamental rights, extorted from the European Royals (Heads of State) in the European Revolutionary year 1848, generates authority to the members of the political institutions and for all other citizens it generates informed consent. It is the only way to save the world.

With the nowadays insight it will grant a new world order, free of wars and keeping a GMO (Codex Alimentarius) free Europe.

I kindly request you to give your assistance to realise this ratification, necessary and wanted. Looking forward to your reaction, I remain most sincerely. Your servant to serve the general interest\*.

---

Robert A. Verlinden. Candidate local elections in Rotterdam on the 3rd of March 2010. Representing a blank list: [www.weareeverywhere.eu](http://www.weareeverywhere.eu) - [www.lijst11.nl](http://www.lijst11.nl) A blank list is a political list without a name. Representatives of the people instead of representatives of a political party.

\*In honour of veteran resistance fighters, love of the earth and the world, there is a podium for servants in the making to serve the interest of the common goods, without giving priority to their own issues.

### Enclosures:

- 1) Dutch formal decision, regarding the introduction in the environment of the genetically manipulation of the 'carnation' number: DGM/RB/C/NL/06/01.

---

<sup>10</sup> At the conference 'Europe a beautiful idea' in the Netherlands in 2004, Mr. Barroso said: when we have to choose between culture and economy, the European culture has priority. One of the main question is now: can we trust Mr. Barroso?

<sup>11</sup> 'Bestuurlijke vernieuwingen' van Spinozakenner W. Klever (noot 102/p64).

<sup>12</sup> Jan de Laender 'Het hart van de duistemis' Psychologie van de menselijke wreedheid'/p207.

<sup>13</sup> Quote: These: 'Der Mord an den Juden hatte verhindert werden können, wenn Amerikaner und Briten es gewollt hatten. Aber Sie wollten vor allem den Krieg' Ende Quote Schriftsteller Nicholson Baker (FAZ 1/3/2009/p21)

2) Open letter, regarding the actio popularis, to the then rotating EU President Bundeskanzlerin A. Merkel of 18<sup>th</sup> June 2007

From: burgersinactie@hotmail.com  
To: internetpost@bundeskanzlerin.de  
CC: redaktion@jungewelt.de; redaktion@morgenpost.de; redaktion@sueddeutsche.de;  
redaktion@tagesschau.de; redaktion@gruene.de; levenmetdearde@live.nl  
Subject: Ratifizierung Grundrechten 1848 unbedingt notwendig!  
Date: Sun, 28 Mar 2010 13:03:43 +0200

## **Ratifizierung Grundrechten 1848 unbedingt notwendig!**

Dear Mrs. Angela Merkel, Federal Chancellor of Germany,  
**'The European GMO- free Citizens'** have in the Netherlands no longer access to the court for civil administrative matters, as the Dutch minister Cramer decided. Her argument is that **The European GMO – free Citizens** have no longer a direct interest in the decision to allow the genetical manipulation of the international well-known favourite flower of the late Royal Prince Bernhard.

This is a mad decision because it is contrary the principle of the fundamental rights of 1848.

Owe to the language of the flower, in this case the carnation; it is clear now that the European Royals still have the (invisible) political power.

In under mentioned letter to the new president of the European Council of Heads of State, Mr. Herman Van Rompuy, I prove that the principle of the European fundamental rights of 1848 silently have been abolished from the European Treaty Lisbon 2007. In this letter I have taken the liberty in quoting the general question of Samantha Power, editor of the book: 'Chasing the flame'. Sergio Vieira de Mello and the fight to save the world' : *'who has the moral authority, the political competence and the military and economic power to bring peace and save lives?'* End quote.

**My answer is:** only ratification of the fundamental rights, extorted from the European Royals (Heads of State) in the European Revolutionary year 1848, generates authority to the members of the political institutions and for all other citizens it generates informed consent. It is the only way to save the world.

With the nowadays insight it will grant a new world order, free of wars and keeping a GMO (Codex Alimentarius) free Europe.

When the European Heads of State don't respect their obligation of the principle of the fundamental rights, human- women- children- and all other rights don't have any value. More than 162 years we have been misled. The weight was on the wrong foot. See my under mentioned letter to Mr. Van Rompuy.

Please would you be so kind to support our call to all citizens in demanding ratification of the fundamental rights of 1848 from the European sovereigns?

Looking forward to your answer I remain most sincerely.

Your servant to serve the general interest\*.

Robert A. Verlinden [www.lijst11.nl](http://www.lijst11.nl)  
Van Speykstraat 87  
3011 VE Rotterdam  
The Netherlands  
Tel. 0031 10 7850589.  
e-mail: [burgersinactie@hotmail.com](mailto:burgersinactie@hotmail.com)

[The European GMO-free Citizens](#)

Lelystad  
The Netherlands