

Stichting Ter Voorkoming Misbruik Genetische Manipulatie (VoMiGEN)
Foundation for the Prevention of Abuse of Genetically Manipulation
www.gentechvrij.nl www.wirsinduberall.de www.vomigen.nl



The Dutch citizens say no...no... to GMO! However, only our queen Beatrix, chairman of the Court of State, said yes!*

The only way in getting a GMO-free Europe will be in realising the ratification of the Fundamental Rights of 1848.

Make a call to all European citizens to unite, as they did in the Revolutionary year of 1848. Demand the leader of the leaders, Mr. Herman Van Rompuy, chairman president of the European Council, for a ratification of the fundamental rights of 1848!

Why did Greenpeace and other European Ngo's ignore the signs that the norms en values of the fundamental rights of 1848 have silently been abolished in the EU Treaty of Lisbon 2007?

To prove that the principles of the fundamental rights of 1848 have been abolished indeed see: "CHAPTER 3: JUDICIAL COOPERATION IN CIVIL MATTERS Article 65.2.f.

Quote: *'The elimination of obstacles to the proper functioning of civil proceedings, if necessary by promoting the compatibility of the rules on civil procedure applicable in the Member States...'* **End quote.** Questions:

a) why if necessary? **b)** What are the criteria of 'if necessary'? **c)** Why 'if necessary' if we know that all the laws are based on the fundamental rights of 1848? **p.t.o.**

* The Dutch Royal family doesn't taste the difference between organic vegetables and GMO vegetables. Their vegetables coming from own garden and that gives the family a good feeling said Crown Prince Alexander (Agd. 14/8/04/p8 and p9) That must be the reason that in 2002 they abolished silently the Board of Advisors of the private logo EKO, in the control organisation SKAL. In fact they abolished the '**freedom of assembly**' and the political exemplary decisions of the owners (members) of the private logo EKO. This is contrary the principles of the fundamental rights of 1848. Minister Gerda Verburg, the servant of the crown, confirmed this just 5 years later on 14 the June 2007.

Stichting Ter Voorkoming Misbruik Genetische Manipulatie (VoMiGEN)
Foundation for the Prevention of Abuse of Genetically Manipulation
www.gentechvrij.nl www.wirsinduberall.de www.vomigen.nl



The Dutch citizens say no...no... to GMO! However, only our queen Beatrix, chairman of the Court of State, said yes!*

The only way in getting a GMO-free Europe will be in realising the ratification of the Fundamental Rights of 1848.

Make a call to all European citizens to unite, as they did in the Revolutionary year of 1848. Demand the leader of the leaders, Mr. Herman Van Rompuy, chairman president of the European Council, for a ratification of the fundamental rights of 1848!

Why did Greenpeace and other European Ngo's ignore the signs that the norms en values of the fundamental rights of 1848 have silently been abolished in the EU Treaty of Lisbon 2007?

To prove that the principles of the fundamental rights of 1848 have been abolished indeed see: "CHAPTER 3: JUDICIAL COOPERATION IN CIVIL MATTERS Article 65.2.f.

Quote: *'The elimination of obstacles to the proper functioning of civil proceedings, if necessary by promoting the compatibility of the rules on civil procedure applicable in the Member States...'* **End quote.** Questions:

a) why if necessary? **b)** What are the criteria of 'if necessary'? **c)** Why 'if necessary' if we know that all the laws are based on the fundamental rights of 1848? **p.t.o.**

* The Dutch Royal family doesn't taste the difference between organic vegetables and GMO vegetables. Their vegetables coming from own garden and that gives the family a good feeling said Crown Prince Alexander (Agd. 14/8/04/p8 and p9) That must be the reason that in 2002 they abolished silently the Board of Advisors of the private logo EKO, in the control organisation SKAL. In fact they abolished the '**freedom of assembly**' and the political exemplary decisions of the owners (members) of the private logo EKO. This is contrary the principles of the fundamental rights of 1848. Minister Gerda Verburg, the servant of the crown, confirmed this just 5 years later on 14 the June 2007.

Other examples:

1e) An example of daily occurrences is the fact that within two weeks after the validity, on 1st December 2009 of the European Treaty of Lisbon 2007, the value of international train tickets changed, from one day to the other day, from two months into one month. Nobody, not even the institute Rover Workgroup International, had been consulted in advance and not even informed afterwards, as they wrote to Verlinden.

2) The citizens of Europe had not been consulted in advance about the European Treaty of Lisbon 2007.

3) The Dutch minister Jacqueline Cramer, servant of the Crown, decided that **The European GMO- free Citizens'** has no longer a direct interest of the genetical manipulation of the flower '**the carnation**'. (*Dianthus Cayophyllius L.*) the international well-known favourite flower of the late Royal Prince Bernhard. In 2005 silently they abolished the *actio popularis*, through this fact they can judge that this group has no longer access to the court for civil administrative matters she decided. That means: populism also in this field is starting NOW. Case number: DGM/RB/C/NL/06/01.

All These examples are in contradiction of the principles of the fundamental rights of 1848.

Also the Europarliamentarians are not doing their job conform the criteria of the Fundamental Rights of 1848. Even the boards of **Ngo's** don't do it. That means in fact, their policy is populism. Populism is only possible when the heads of states don't respect the principals of the fundamental rights of 1848. The result is corruption caused by the effects of "**He who pays the piper calls the tune**".

For example: why are Greenpeace and other NGO's refusing to argue, that the introduction of the GMO in the natural environment is opposed to **article 5** 'Right to Liberty and Security'; **article 8** 'Right to respect for private and family life'; and **article 1, 1st Protocol** 'Protection of property' of the Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms?

Despite the fact that they were together of prejudicial questions, why didn't Greenpeace support the grounds of appeal of the foundation VoMiGEN about the introduction of GMO Maize and the independence of the Dutch Council of State with Queen Beatrix as chairman? See: Application number 200702758/1, VoMiGEN and Greenpeace against the State of Netherland. Application number 815976 NL /C360/08 European Court of Justice in Luxembourg.

p.t.o.



Other examples:

1e) An example of daily occurrences is the fact that within two weeks after the validity, on 1st December 2009 of the European Treaty of Lisbon 2007, the value of international train tickets changed, from one day to the other day, from two months into one month. Nobody, not even the institute Rover Workgroup International, had been consulted in advance and not even informed afterwards, as they wrote to Verlinden.

2) The citizens of Europe had not been consulted in advance about the European Treaty of Lisbon 2007.

3) The Dutch minister Jacqueline Cramer, servant of the Crown, decided that **The European GMO- free Citizens'** has no longer a direct interest of the genetical manipulation of the flower '**the carnation**'. (*Dianthus Cayophyllius L.*) the international well-known favourite flower of the late Royal Prince Bernhard. In 2005 silently they abolished the *actio popularis*, through this fact they can judge that this group has no longer access to the court for civil administrative matters she decided. That means: populism also in this field is starting NOW. Case number: DGM/RB/C/NL/06/01.

All These examples are in contradiction of the principles of the fundamental rights of 1848.

Also the Europarliamentarians are not doing their job conform the criteria of the Fundamental Rights of 1848. Even the boards of **Ngo's** don't do it. That means in fact, their policy is populism. Populism is only possible when the heads of states don't respect the principals of the fundamental rights of 1848. The result is corruption caused by the effects of "**He who pays the piper calls the tune**".

For example: why are Greenpeace and other NGO's refusing to argue, that the introduction of the GMO in the natural environment is opposed to **article 5** 'Right to Liberty and Security'; **article 8** 'Right to respect for private and family life'; and **article 1, 1st Protocol** 'Protection of property' of the Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms?

Despite the fact that they were together of prejudicial questions, why didn't Greenpeace support the grounds of appeal of the foundation VoMiGEN about the introduction of GMO Maize and the independence of the Dutch Council of State with Queen Beatrix as chairman? See: Application number 200702758/1, VoMiGEN and Greenpeace against the State of Netherland. Application number 815976 NL /C360/08 European Court of Justice in Luxembourg.

p.t.o.

