



Objections of the European GMO-free Citizens against **GGO C/NL/09/01 and GGO C/NL/09/02**.

Lelystad, 20-07-2015.

Geachte staatssecretaris,

We object against the following 2 *Beschikkingen* (decisions):

Op 9 juli 2015 zijn door het Ministerie van Infrastructuur en Milieu (hierna: *IenM*), vergunningen verleend, met **kenmerk GGO C/NL/09/01 en GGO C/NL/09/02**, voor het in de handel brengen van genetisch gemodificeerde organismen krachtens artikel 23 van het Besluit genetisch gemodificeerde organismen milieubeheer, geldend ten tijde van de ontvangst van de aanvraag (hierna: *Besluit ggo*) aan Florigene Ltd., gevestigd in Melbourne, in Australië. De beschikkingen zijn op 20 juli 2015 aan Florigene Ltd. verzonden. Op 12 maart 2009 had Florigene Ltd. daartoe strekkende aanvragen ingediend. De genetisch gemodificeerde organismen die als product in de handel worden gebracht ten behoeve van import zijn snijbloemen van anjer (*Dianthus caryophyllus L.*) met een gewijzigde bloemkleur, gemodificeerd met *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* stam AGL0, met behulp van de vector *pCGP3366* respectievelijk *pCGP2355*, wat lijn 25958 (C/NL/09/01) en lijn 26407 (C/NL/09/02) heeft opgeleverd.

advertisement in the *Volkskrant* 20-07-2015.

The European GMO-free Citizens believe that these introductions on the EU market of these GM-carnations with changed flower color must not take place. This introduction is for economic reasons only. We don't want GM-flowers and we don't want them from a company who has used embryo cells and mice to test the toxicity of their GM-flowers. Furthermore they insert the cauliflower mosaic virus in these GM carnations. "Probably the greatest threat from genetically altered crops is the insertion of modified virus and insect virus genes into crops. It has been shown in the laboratory that genetic recombination will create highly virulent new viruses from such constructions. Certainly the widely used cauliflower mosaic virus is a potentially dangerous gene. It is a pararetrovirus meaning that it multiplies by making DNA from RNA messages. It is very similar to the Hepatitis B virus and related to HIV." Dr. Joseph Cummins, professor emeritus in genetics from the university of West-Ontario The use of Cauliflower Mosaic Virus, Joseph Cummins. We support the undermentioned quote:

Richard Strohman is an eminent scientist and former Chair of the Department of Molecular and Cell Biology at Berkeley who stated the problem this way: *When you insert a single gene into a plant or an animal, the technology will work you'll get the desired characteristic. But you will also have produced changes in the cell or the organism as a whole that are unpredictable. Genes exist in networks, interactive net-works which have a logic of their own and the fact that the industry folks don't deal with these networks is what makes their science incomplete and dangerous. We are in a crisis position where we know the weakness of the genetic concept, but we don't know how to incorporate it into a new, more complete understanding.* Source: http://www.davidsuzuki.org/david/downloads/David_Suzuki_Biotech_essay.pdf

We support the objections of The European GMO-free Citizens, which you can read here: www.gentechvrij.nl/a15.html.

Please send before the 20th of August 2015 to the undermentioned Dutch address:

De Staatssecretaris van Infrastructuur en Milieu, Mevr. W. Mansveld, t.a.v. de Hoofddirectie Bestuurlijke en Juridische Zaken, afdeling Algemeen Bestuurlijk-Juridische Zaken, Postbus 20901, 2500 EX The Hague, the Netherlands.
All fields are required.



Objections of the European GMO-free Citizens against *GGO C/NL/09/01* and *GGO C/NL/09/02*

Lelystad, 20-07-2015.

Geachte staatssecretaris,

We object against the following 2 *Beschikkingen* (decisions):

Op 9 juli 2015 zijn door het Ministerie van Infrastructuur en Milieu (hierna: *IenM*), vergunningen verleend, met kenmerk **GGO C/NL/09/01** en **GGO C/NL/09/02**, voor het in de handel brengen van genetisch gemodificeerde organismen krachtens artikel 23 van het Besluit genetisch gemodificeerde organismen milieubeheer, geldend ten tijde van de ontvangst van de aanvraag (hierna: *Besluit ggo*) aan Florigene Ltd., gevestigd in Melbourne, in Australië. De beschikkingen zijn op 20 juli 2015 aan Florigene Ltd. verzonden. Op 12 maart 2009 had Florigene Ltd. daartoe strekkende aanvragen ingediend. De genetisch gemodificeerde organismen die als product in de handel worden gebracht ten behoeve van import zijn snijbloemen van anjer (*Dianthus caryophyllus L.*) met een gewijzigde bloemkleur, gemodificeerd met *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* stam AGL0, met behulp van de vector *pCGP3366* respectievelijk *pCGP2355*, wat lijn 25958 (C/NL/09/01) en lijn 26407 (C/NL/09/02) heeft opgeleverd.

advertisement in the *Volkskrant* 20-07-2015.

The European GMO-free Citizens believe that these introductions on the EU market of these GM-carnations with changed flower color must not take place. This introduction is for economic reasons only. We don't want GM-flowers and we don't want them from a company who has used embryo cells and mice to test the toxicity of their GM-flowers. Furthermore they insert the cauliflower mosaic virus in these GM carnations. "Probably the greatest threat from genetically altered crops is the insertion of modified virus and insect virus genes into crops. It has been shown in the laboratory that genetic recombination will create highly virulent new viruses from such constructions. Certainly the widely used cauliflower mosaic virus is a potentially dangerous gene. It is a pararetrovirus meaning that it multiplies by making DNA from RNA messages. It is very similar to the Hepatitis B virus and related to HIV." Dr. Joseph Cummins, professor emeritus in genetics from the university of West-Ontario The use of Cauliflower Mosaic Virus, Joseph Cummins. We support the undermentioned quote:

Richard Strohman is an eminent scientist and former Chair of the Department of Molecular and Cell Biology at Berkeley who stated the problem this way: *When you insert a single gene into a plant or an animal, the technology will work you'll get the desired characteristic. But you will also have produced changes in the cell or the organism as a whole that are unpredictable. Genes exist in networks, interactive net-works which have a logic of their own and the fact that the industry folks don't deal with these networks is what makes their science incomplete and dangerous. We are in a crisis position where we know the weakness of the genetic concept, but we don't know how to incorporate it into a new, more complete understanding.* Source: http://www.davidsuzuki.org/david/downloads/David_Suzuki_Biotech_essay.pdf

We support the objections of The European GMO-free Citizens, which you can read here: www.gentechvrij.nl/a15.html.

Please send before the 20th of August 2015 to the undermentioned Dutch address:

De Staatssecretaris van Infrastructuur en Milieu, Mevr. W. Mansveld, t.a.v. de Hoofddirectie Bestuurlijke en Juridische Zaken, afdeling Algemeen Bestuurlijk-Juridische Zaken, **Postbus 20901**, 2500 EX The Hague, the Netherlands.
All fields are required.