



Open letter

Council of the European Union,
Mr Herman A. Van Rompuy Esq. /President Chairman
Rue de la Loi 175
B-1049 Brussels
Belgium

Rotterdam, 7th March 2012.

"Particularisme" by Herman van Rompuy. Buitenhof 4/3/12

Dear Mr. van Rompuy

In the programme 'Buitenhof' on Dutch television of 4th March recently, the viewers were informed by you about the understanding "**Particularisme**" as being the basic mainstream of the Heads of State in the EU nowadays. It seems that this was the reason that you have given the Heads of State by Christmas the present of a book with the title 'Happiness'. Happiness for whom?

In essence you prove with this statement that the main policy of the EU is the '**intérêt particulier**' accordingly the '**volonté de tous**' (The private will of all). In fact, this was de mainstream, by the Heads of State and their elite, before the French Revolution of 1789 as well as the period between the Vienna Convention (1816) and the European revolutionary year of 1848.

These both attitudes have been changed by the French Revolution into the '**intérêt général**' (algemeen belang) accordingly the '**volonté générale**' (general will of the people) of Rousseau as mentioned in the first French-American Human Rights Act of 1789 of Marquis de la Fayette, article 6.

The "**volonté générale**" of Rousseau is a democratic policy based on arguments, facts, figures and expectations of the members of the institutions through a Thinkers Club, instead of the majority principle within the political parties of the Parliaments of friends' services, as it exists today.

In other words, a democracy based on the effects of the saying "*He who pays the piper calls the tune*". Please be so kind and read the speech of Pope Benedictus XVI, which he held in the German parliament in Berlin on 22 September last year. The Pope was very clear by saying:

Quote: 'For most of the matters that need to be regulated by law, the support of the majority can serve as a sufficient criterion. Yet it is evident that for the fundamental issues of law, in which the dignity of man and of humanity is at stake, the majority principle is not enough: everyone in a position of responsibility must personally seek out the criteria to be followed when framing laws.' **End quote.**

Implicitly, he referred to the political sovereignty of the individual which is an synonym of the "**volonté générale**" of Rousseau of 1789 and the principles of the fundamental right of 1848.

The "**volonté générale**" is the natural law of fundamental, constitutional and human rights on behalf of the informed consent, regarding the collective values. French expression: "intérêt général". The exemplary informed consent representing the "**intérêt général**". Together with the actio popularis it generates the political sovereignty of the individual and the people

The movement of Stuttgart 21 in Germany brought the **volonté générale** in daylight again, as the proletariat did in 1848. This German resistance movement is an example for the whole world. **Quote:** All arguments, all the facts and figures and all assessments have to become on the table! '*Alle Argumente, alle Fakten alle Zahlen und Einschätzungen müssen auf den Tisch*' **Endquote.** Dr. Heiner Geißler (Süddeutsche Zeitung 7/10 und 13/10/10).

People also asserted "**the right of resistance in case of repression**", in the first French- American Human Rights of 1789, article 2, the political influence of Spinoza. **!In essence the Dutch identity!**

These two existential rights and the implication of the duties of the Heads of State is a synonym of the '**volonté générale**', have disappeared from the Human Rights today. We very much like to know from you, why, when and by which authority?

The following existentially examples show the urgency of this question: the rise of a new kind of totalitarian regime by privatisation of collective values, patents of genetically manipulated organisms, centralization of the European national currencies into the euro. In essence, this is the '**volonté de tous**'. At the end it will result in a genetically modified biodiversity. It means we will lose our knowledge of the values of our existence (See the rise of the codex alimentarius). In fact it means we are losing the criterions of our right to exist. Restriction of freedom by cameras', storage of dna, fingerprints, electronic records of medicinal data of patients, internet data (Geheimdienste prüften 37 Millionen E-Mails FAZ 26/2/2012) and telephone, will change the world into a Panopticon, supported by a new 4th form of administrative power i.e. '**the tetras politica**' (**ict**).¹ Only in Rotterdam there are already more than 2.000 cameras'. Why and for whose security?

I am looking forward to your answer. I remain most sincerely, your servant of the common interest*.

Stichting ter Voorkoming Misbruik Genetische Manipulatie VoMiGEN).

Foundation for the prevention of Abuse of Genetic Manipulation (VoMiGEN) www.vomigen.eu

Robert A. Verlinden.

Candidate of the local elections in Rotterdam on the 3rd of March 2010, representing a "citizens list" www.lijst11.nl www.weareeverywhere.eu In 2006 Verlinden was candidate number 17 of www.lijst14.nl A "citizens list" is a political movement (group) without a name of a political party. The Dutch constitution of 1848 doesn't recognize political parties. Candidates on a "citizens list" list are representatives and servants of the people instead of representatives of a political party.

**In honour of veteran resistance fighters and devotion to the earth and the world, there is a podium for servants who commit serving the interest of the common good, without giving priority to their own issues.*

Encl. Lampoon

¹ The Digital Revolution: From Trias to **Tetras Politica** Richard De Mulder Center for Computers and Law **Erasmus** University Rotterdam **z.o.z.**