## STICHTING TER VOORKOMING MISBRUIK GENETISCHE MANIPULATIE (VoMiGEN)

Foundation for the prevention of Abuse of Genetic Manipulation (VoMiGEN).

Van Speykstraat 87, 3014 VE Rotterdam. (Nederland). Telefoon: 0031 10 7850589 Ingeschreven bij de Kamer van Koophandel onder nr. 24290161 <a href="www.vomigen.eu">www.vomigen.eu</a> e-mail: <a href="www.vomigen.eu">vomigen@vomigen.eu</a> .



## **Open letter**

Council of the European Union, Mr. Herman A. Van Rompuy Esq. /President Chairman Rue de la Loi 175 <u>B-1049 Brussels</u> Belgium

Rotterdam, 23rd April 2012

## Your letter, of 28th March 2012. Reference: 246. "Particularism" by Mr. H. van Rompuy

Dear Mr. van Rompuy

Herewith I acknowledge receipt of your above mentioned letter, posted to R.A. Verlinden instead of the Foundation for the prevention of Abuse of Genetic Manipulation (VoMiGEN), regarding your information on the Dutch TV about "Particularism" as being the basic mainstream of the Heads of State in the EU nowadays.

Your spokesman of 'The public Information Service' wrote to me, **quote:**' Unfortunately Mr. Van Rompuy is not able to reply personally to all the correspondence that he receives but we would like to assure you that your letter has been brought to his attention'. **End quote.** 

Only the attention by Mr. Herman van Rompuy is not enough for these existential themes. Even, when you don't have time to answer my letter than still you have more than 170.000 officials at your disposal to give an answer on the question: 'show us the documents in which the 47 Heads of State of Europe, represented by the Council of Europe in Strasbourg, can prove that they have got the authority from the European people in taking out the general will (la volonté générale de Rousseau) and the "right of resistance in case of repression" from the Human Rights of 1948, as it was described in article 2 and 6 in the first Human Rights of 1789.

**Quote:** On the 27 of August 1789, the Assembly proclaimed the Declaration of Human Rights. It was proposed by Lafayette, who had been impressed by the Declaration of Independence of the US. In **Article 2** it states: "These rights are liberty, property, security and resistance against oppression. **Article 6** states: "The law is the expression of the 'general will of the people" (this refers to the volonté générale from Rousseau and not to the will of the majority). **End Quote** Dietrich Schwanitz, "Education. "Everything you need to know" page 158.

The answer on this question is very important and very urgent now. Paul Gilding (Greenpeace) wrote in his book 'The Great Disruption' that there will be an actual risk that 50% of our civilisation has gone. (FD 21/4/12)

The policy of "Particularism", as you mentioned on the Dutch TV, is equal to the understanding 'intérêt particulier' as it was before the French Revolution. The understanding 'intérêt particulier' is equal to the 'volonté de tous'. It is the 'particular will of all', that means the Heads of State and their elites instead of the general will of the people.

During the French revolution the elite had no other choice then accepting the change of the 'intérêt particulier' into the intérêts générale (General interest) of Madame Helvétius. This has been made more perfect by the volonté générale of Rousseau. (The general will of the people) in the Human rights of 1789.

It means a democracy based on facts, arguments, figures and expectations of the people, instead of the elite, for new laws, law changing, wars and other political questions. This is the only way for the people to protect their collective values, the general interest, instead of the values of the elite only.

In getting all this information, for the protection of our collective values, on the table, this will only be possible by processes of opinion of thinkers, directly chosen by the people. This was the case in the Netherlands by the control organisation SKAL, of the biologic private logo EKO, until the 1st of January 2002.

In fact, it was the same natural democratic system as the volonté générale of Rousseau of 1789. This is known as natural right (something which of course is, "naturally"). Please be so kind and see the speech of Pope Benedikt XVI in the German parliament of 21<sup>st</sup> September 2011 about the natural law <a href="http://www.gentechvrij.nl/plaatjesgen/robpaus.pdf">http://www.gentechvrij.nl/plaatjesgen/robpaus.pdf</a>

After the Convention of Vienna in 1816 the freedom of speech, within the political institutions of the thinkers clubs, had been abolished. The same situation we do have nowadays. See the cartoon of 1819 The Thinkers Club.





Between 1816 en 1848 the basic trust of the volonté générale and the Human Rights of 1789 had been damaged. This was the reason that the Prussian proletariat demanding for the right of assembly for the processes of opinion about new laws, law changing wars and other politic questions in the European Revolutionary year of 1848. This was the most important criterion of the principles of the Fundamental Rights of 1848. Populism is only possible when the Heads of State, represented in the Council of Europe, in Staatsburg, don't respect the general will of the people.

In fact the German movement Stuttgart 21, brought the **volonté générale** in daylight again as did by the proletariat in 1848. This was understood by Dr. Heiner Geißler **Quote:** All arguments, all facts and all figures and all assessments have to be put on the table' 'Alle Argumente, alle Fakten alle Zahlen und Einschatzungen müssen auf den Tisch' **Endquote.** (Süddeutsche Zeitung 7/10 und 13/10/10).

Regarding this matter, for your information I send you my open letter to the new President of Germany Mr. Joachim Gauck of 18<sup>th.</sup> April last. '*The Fury of the Whole World'* Especially the effects of *andragogy* reinvented by the Jewish intellectual with a public domain, Eugen Rosenstock-Huessy, in 1920. See: <a href="http://www.gentechvrij.nl/plaatjesgen/vomigen120418%20gauckc.pdf">http://www.gentechvrij.nl/plaatjesgen/vomigen120418%20gauckc.pdf</a>

I am afraid that we need a European revolution again for regaining the criterions of the volonté générale as forced by the proletariat in 1789 and 1848. It is the only way to protect the globe and the collective values of all the people. Please be so kind and see also VoMiGEN's letter to the Board of the Council of Europe, for the attention of the chairman, Mr. Thorbjørn Jagland, of 8<sup>th</sup> August last year <a href="http://www.gentechvrij.nl/plaatjesgen/kalfrobbrief080811.pdf">http://www.gentechvrij.nl/plaatjesgen/kalfrobbrief080811.pdf</a>

This is the reason that I address to you again to show the people of Europe the documents in which the 47 Heads of State of Europe, represented by the Council of Europe in Strasbourg, can prove that they have got the authority of the European people to take out the general will of the people (la volonté générale de Rousseau) and the "right of resistance in case of repression", the political influence of the Dutch Spinoza, from the human rights and other international treaties as it was described in the first Human rights of 1789.

I am looking forward to your answer. I remain most sincerely, your servant of the common interest\*. Stichting ter Voorkoming Misbruik Genetische Manipulatie VoMiGEN).

Foundation for the prevention of Abuse of Genetic Manipulation (VoMiGEN) www.vomigen.eu

## Robert A. Verlinden.

Candidate of the local elections in Rotterdam on the 3rd of March 2010, representing a "citizens list" <a href="www.lijst11.nl">www.lijst11.nl</a> www.lijst11.nl</a> In 2006 Verlinden was candidate number 17 of <a href="www.lijst14.nl">www.lijst14.nl</a> A "citizens list" is a political movement (group) without a name of a political party. The Dutch constitution of 1848 doesn't recognize political parties. Candidates on a "citizens list" list are representatives and servants of the people instead of representatives of a political party.

<sup>\*</sup>In honour of veteran resistance fighters and devotion to the earth and the world, there is a podium for servants who commit serving the interest of the common good, without giving priority to their own issues.